Received: 28/06/2022

Accepted: 16/07/2022

Published: 01/09/2022

#### SJ-FLAT MODULES

Ashwaq Medhloom JUDDAH <sup>1</sup>

Directorate of Education in Qadisiyah, Iraq

Akeel Ramadan MEHDI<sup>2</sup>

University of Al Qadisiyah, Iraq

## Abstract

In this work we are aiming to explain the notion of SJ-flat Modules. SJ-injectivity notion had been proposed and examined within R-module right M characterised as SJ-N-injective – as N stands for R-module right– while R-homomorphism out of a right submodule for SJ(N)=soc(N)  $\cap$  (J(N)J(R)) within M in an extend till N. Examples showing how SJ-injectivity differs from previous injectivity ideas like nonobjectivity and soc-injectivity are offered to show how this new injectivity condition is linked to existing injectivity notions. Highlighted are a few characteristics of the new injectivity class.

Keywords: SJ-Injective Module; SJ-flat module; FP-Injective Right R-Modules.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup><u>http://dx.doi.org/10.47832/2717-8234.12.23</u>

math.post02@qu.edu.iq, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7674-3340

www. akeel.mehdi@qu.edu.iq https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1077-6489

#### Introduction

In this work we are aiming to explain the notion of SJ-flat Modules. SJ-injectivity notion had been proposed and examined within R-module right M characterised as SJ-N-injective – as N stands for R-module right – while R-homomorphism out of a right submodule for  $SJ(N)=soc(N) \cap (J(N)J(R))$  within M in an extend till N. Examples showing how SJ-injectivity differs from previous injectivity ideas like nonobjectivity and soc-injectivity are offered to show how this new injectivity condition is linked to existing injectivity notions. Highlighted are a few characteristics of the new injectivity class.

In this article, most R-modules and rings are been connected to their identity. soc (M), J (M), signify, socle of M, Jacobson radical, in order, the class of R-modules right is depicted with R – Mod, as if f.g. is been denoted by finitely generated R-module right. While Jacobson's radical R is denoted by J. The submodule N to M notations.

### 2. SJ-Flat Modules

As a class of modules related SJ-injective modules, we will introduce SJ-flat modules. Many findings in terms of SJ-injectivity & SJ-flatness are given.

**Definition 2.1.1.** Let be  $M \in R$ -Mod. letting M is SJ-flat, if  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$ , where  $SJ(R_R) = \operatorname{soc}(R_R) \cap (J(R))^2$ .

**Example 2.1.2.** While it is true that all of the flat modules is SJ-flat, the reverse is not correct. As if,  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  no flat anymore  $n \ge 2$  (see [2, Example, Page 155]), not obvious enough  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  if  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is SJ-flat at all  $n \ge 2$ , since SJ( $\mathbb{Z}$ ) = 0.

**Theorem 2.1.3.** equivalating by the following:

- (1) Let  $M^+$  being SJ-injective right *R*-module.
- (2) Let M being SJ-flat left R-module.
- (3)  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/B, M) = 0$ , for each f.g., ideal right B of R, with  $B \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ .
- (4)  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/A, M) = 0$ , for each ideal right A of R, with  $A \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ .
- (5) The sequence  $0 \to (SJ(R_R)) \otimes_R M \to R_R \otimes_R M$  is exact.

(6) The sequence  $0 \to A \otimes_R M \to R_R \otimes_R M$  is exact for each f.g. ideal right A with R, with  $A \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ .

**Proof.** (1)=(2) Letting M been an SJ-flat left R-modules, and so on  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$ . Using Theorem 1.2.18 in [7], we get  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M^+) \cong (\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M))^+$ . Since  $(\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M))^+ = 0$ , it follows that  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M^+) = 0$ . And so on  $M^+$  is a right SJ-injective R-modules, using Proposition 2.1.8 in [7].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let  $M^+$  is an SJ-injective right *R*-modules, and so on  $\text{Ext}^1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M^+) = 0$ , by [7, Proposition 2.1.8]. By Theorem 1.2.18 in [7],  $\text{Ext}^1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M^+) \cong (\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M))^+$  $(M))^+$  & hence  $(\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M))^+ = 0$ . And so on  $\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$  & hence *M* is an SJ-flat modules.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Letting A be any ideal right of R, with  $A \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ . By [4, Theorem 3.2.1, P.75] & Proposition 2.1.8,  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/A, M)^+ \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1(R/A, M^+) = 0$ . And so on  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/A, M) = 0$ , since  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is injective cogenerator.

 $(3)\Rightarrow(1)$  is been clear.

 $(4)\Rightarrow(3) N$  is a ideal right of R, as:  $N \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ , so  $N = \lim_{i \to \infty} N_i$ , where  $N_i$  is an f.g. ideal right of R, with  $N_i \subseteq SJ(R_R)$  for all i. Let  $f_{ij}: N_i \to N_j$  (with  $i \leq j$ ) is the inclusion map, &  $(N_i, f_{ij})$  as direct system (see [4, Example 1.5.5 (2), p. 32]). Obviously,  $(R/N_i, h_{ij})$  is a R-

modules direct system where  $h_{ij}: R/N_i \to R/N_j$  is depicted by  $h_{ij}(a + N_i) = a + N_j$  with direct limit  $(h_i, \lim R/N_i)$ . The following diagram is commutative:

where  $i_i \& \pi_i$  are the inclusion & canonical maps, respectively. By [14, 24.6, p.200], We get the required sequence as:  $0 \to N \xrightarrow{i} R \xrightarrow{u} \lim_{\to} R/N_i \to 0$ . following [14, 24.4, p.199], we get the commutativity of the next diagram:

$$\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\pi_i} R/N_i \longrightarrow 0 \\ \| & h_i \\ R \xrightarrow{u} \lim R/N_i \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

So the family of mappings  $\left\{g_i \mid g_i: R/N_i \to R/\lim_{i \to \infty} N_i$ , while  $g_i(a + N_i) = a + \lim_{i \to \infty} N_i\right\}$ obtaining homomorphisms direct system, since for  $i \leq j$ , having  $g_j h_{ij}(a + N_i) = g_j(a + N_j) = a + \lim_{i \to \infty} N_i = g_i(a + N_i)$  for each  $a + N_i \in R/N_i$ . Hence, we get diagram of *R*-homomorphism  $\alpha$  as the following:

with rows are short exact (see [14, p.197]), when  $\pi$  is a canonical map, so could it follows from [1, Exercise 11 (1), p. 52] that  $\lim_{\to} R/N_i \cong R/\lim_{\to} N_i$ . as,  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/N, M) = \operatorname{Tor}_1\left(R/\lim_{\to} N_i, M\right)$  $\cong \operatorname{Tor}_1\left(\lim_{\to} R/N_i, M\right)$  (by [5, Theorem XII.5.4 (4), p. 494]).  $\cong \lim_{\to} \operatorname{Tor}_1(R/N_i, M) = 0$  by [10, Proposition 7.8, p. 410]). That means (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is

satisfied.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  is been obvious.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (5) letting *M* be an SJ-flat left *R*-modules, and so on  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$ . Taking required sequence  $0 \to (SJ(R_R)) \xrightarrow{i} R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/(SJ(R_R)) \to 0$ . By [5, Theorem X.II.5.4(3), p. 494], getting the required sequence  $0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) \to (SJ(R_R)) \otimes_R M \to R_R \otimes_R M$ . Since  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$ , getting the sequence  $0 \to (SJ(R_R)) \otimes_R M \to R_R \otimes_R M$  is exact.

 $(5)\Rightarrow(1)$  Suppose that the sequence  $0 \to (SJ(R_R))\otimes_R M \to R_R\otimes_R M$  is exact. By [5, Theorem XII.5.4(3), p. 494], the sequence  $0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) \to (SJ(R_R))\otimes_R M \to R_R\otimes_R M$  is exact. And so on  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$  & hence M be an SJ-flat left R-modules.

(4) $\Leftrightarrow$ (6) By similar way of (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5).

**Note:** We will use the symbol SJI (resp. SJF) to denote the class of right SJ-injective – Left SJ-flat – R-modules.

Corollary 2.1.4. Both (SJF, SJI) is an almost dual pair.

**Proof.** Following from Theorem 3.1.3 & Theorem 2.1.3 ((1) & (5)see [7]).

**Proposition 2.1.5.** as *R* ring, the next are true:

(1)  $SJ(R_R)$  been f.g, so the following pure form of submodule always of SJ-injective *R*-module right being SJ-injective.

- (2) all SJ-flat pure form of submodule of *R*-module left is SJ-flat.
- (3) all direct limits (direct sums) of SJ-flat R-modules left being SJ-flat.
- (4) Unless M, N are left R-modules,  $M \cong N$ , and M is SJ-flat, so N is SJ-flat.

**Proof.** (1) Letting *M* be an SJ-injective right *R*-module & *N* be a pure form of submodule of *M*. To prove that *N* is SJ-injective. Since  $R/SJ(R_R)$  is a finitely presented, the sequence  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/SJ(R_R), M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/SJ(R_R), M/N) \to 0$  is the required. By [5, Theorem XII.4.4 (4), p. 491], we get the required sequence  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/SJ(R_R), M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/SJ(R_R), M/N) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(R/SJ(R_R), N) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(R/SJ(R_R), M)$ 

, it follows that  $\text{Ext}^1(R/SJ(R_R), N) = 0$ . By [7, Proposition 2.1.8], N is an SJ-injective R-module right.

(2), (3) & (4), are following from [7, Corollary 2.1.4 ]& [9, Proposition 4.2.8, p. 70].

**Corollary 2.1.6.** A ideal right  $SJ(R_R)$  of a ring R is f.g. iff all of the FP-injective right R-module is SJ-injective.

**Proof.**  $(\Rightarrow)$  Let M be an FP-injective right R-module, and so on  $\text{Ext}^1(N, M) = 0$ , for all finitely presented right R-modules N. By hypothesis,  $SJ(R_R)$  is f.g. & hence  $R/SJ(R_R)$  is finitely presented, so  $\text{Ext}^1(R/SJ(R_R), M) = 0$ . Into Proposition 2.1.8 in [7], M is SJ-injective.

(⇐) Let M be an FP-injective R-modules right & let  $f:SJ(R_R) \to M$  be a right R-homomorphism. By hypothesis, M is SJ-injective, so f continues to R. Within [7, Proposition 1.2.33],  $SJ(R_R)$  is f.g.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.1.7.** Equivalent to the following of ring R:

- (1) f.g. ideal right I for R with  $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$  is finitely presented always.
- (2) Letting M be a right SJ-injective R-module, so  $M^{++}$  is SJ-injective.
- (3) Letting M be a right SJ-injective R-module, so  $M^+$  is SJ-flat.
- (4) A left *R*-module *N* is SJ-flat iff  $N^{++}$  is SJ-flat.
- (5) within direct products *SJF* is closed.
- (6)  $_{R}R^{S}$  is SJ-flat for index set S at all.

(7)  $\operatorname{Ext}^2(R/I, M) = 0$  for each *FP*-injective *R*-module *M* right & always f.g. ideal right *I* of *R* by  $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ .

(8) If  $0 \to N \to M \to H \to 0$  being a required sequence of right *R*-modules with *N* is *FP*-injective & *M* is SJ-injective, while  $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, H) = 0$ , to all f.g. ideal right *I* of *R* with  $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ .

(9) R-module left has an (SJF)-pre-envelope at all.

**Proof.**(1)⇒(2) Suppose that *M* is SJ-injective. Let *I* be an f.g. ideal right of *R* with *I* ⊆ *SJ*(*R<sub>R</sub>*). And so on Ext<sup>1</sup>(*R*/*I*, *M*) = 0. Within hypothesis, *I* finitely presented & hence is a required sequence  $B_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} B_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} I \rightarrow 0$  in which  $B_i$  is an f.g. free right *R*-module, i = 1, 2. And so on getting the sequence  $B_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} B_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/I \rightarrow 0$  is the required, where  $\alpha = if_1 \& i: I \rightarrow R \& \pi: R \rightarrow R/I$  including & canonical homomorphisms, respectively. And so on *R*/*I* is 2- presented & so on Lemma 1.2.24(see[7]) implies that Tor<sub>1</sub>(*R*/*I*, *M*<sup>+</sup>)  $\cong$  (Ext<sup>1</sup>(*R*/*I*, *M*))<sup>+</sup> = 0. So, *M*<sup>+</sup> is an SJ-flat *R*-module left.

(2)⇒(3) Let M be SJ-injective. Within hypothesis,  $M^+$  is an SJ-flat left R-module. By Theorem 2.1.3,  $M^{++}$  is SJ-injective.

 $(3)\Rightarrow(4)$  Suppose that N is an SJ-flat left R-module, and so on Theorem 2.1.3 implies that,  $N^+$  being an SJ-injective right R-module. By (3),  $N^{+++}$  is SJ-injective. By Theorem 2.1.3,  $N^{++}$  is SJ-flat. Conversely, suppose that  $N^{++}$  is SJ-flat. Through Theorem 1.2.30(1)(see [7]), N is  $N^{++}$  as a pure submodule. Within Proposition 2.1.5(2), N is SJ-flat.

(4)⇒(5) By hypothesis,  $(SJF)^{++} \subseteq SJF$ . Since (SJF, SJI) is an mainly dual pair (by Corollary 2.1.4), getting from [9, Proposition 2.3.1 & Proposition 2.2.8 (3), p.85 & 70], that SJF is definable. So SJF is closed with direct products.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (6)$  obvious.

 $(6)\Rightarrow(1)$  Letting K be an f.g. ideal right of R with  $K \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ . Within (6),  $\prod R = R^I$  is an SJ-flat left *R*-module. And so on  $\text{Tor}_1(R/K, \prod R) = 0$ , by Theorem 2.1.3. And so on, getting the exact row by commutative diagram:

$$0 \longrightarrow K \otimes_{R}(\prod R) \longrightarrow R \otimes_{R}(\prod R) \longrightarrow R/K \otimes_{R}(\prod R) \longrightarrow 0$$
  
$$\alpha \downarrow \qquad \beta \downarrow \qquad \gamma \downarrow$$
  
$$0 \longrightarrow \prod K \longrightarrow \prod R \longrightarrow \Pi R/K \longrightarrow 0$$

Using [4, Theorem 3.2.22, p.81], we get  $\gamma \& \beta$  are isomorphisms. By [8, Lemma 3.2,p. 14],  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. Using [4, Theorem 3.2.22, p. 81], *K* is finitely presented.

(1)⇒(7) Let *I* being an f.g. ideal right of *R* for  $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$  & as *M* been an *FP*-injective *R* -module right. By (1), *I* is finitely presented. By [5, Theorem XII.4.4 (3), p. 491], by getting required sequence  $\text{Ext}^1(I,M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R/I,M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R,M)$ . But  $\text{Ext}^1(I,M) = 0$  (since *M* is *FP*-injective & *I* is finitely presented) &  $\text{Ext}^2(R,M) = 0$  (as *R* is projective). And so on  $\text{Ext}^2(R/I,M) = 0$ .

 $(7) \Rightarrow (8)$  If  $0 \to N \to M \to K \to 0$  is an required sequence of right *R*-modules, where *N* is *FP*-injective & *M* is SJ-injective & let *I* be an f.g. ideal right of *R* with  $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ . By [5, Theorem XII.4.4 (4), p.491], We get an required sequence  $0 = \text{Ext}^1(R/I, M) \to \text{Ext}^1(R/I, K) \to \text{Ext}^2(R/I, N) = 0$ . And so on  $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, K) = 0$  for each f.g. ideal right *I* of *R* with  $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ .

 $(8) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let N be an FP-injective right R-module, and so on getting the required sequence  $0 \to N \to E(N) \to E(N)/N \to 0$ , where E(N) is the injective envelope of N. Let I be an f.g. ideal right of R with  $I \subseteq SI(R_R)$ . By hypothesis,  $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, E(N)/N) = 0$ . So it follows from [5, XII.4.4 Theorem (4), 491] that the sequence p.  $0 = \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(R/I, E(N)/N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{2}(R/I, N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{2}(R/I, E(N)) = 0$ is exact, & so  $\operatorname{Ext}^{2}(R/I, N) = 0$ . Since  $0 \to I \to R \to R/I \to 0$  is exact, so it is follows from [5, Theorem XII.4.4 (3), p. 491] that the sequence  $0 = \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(R, N) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{2}(R/I, N) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{2}(R/I, N) = 0$ . And so on  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(I, N) = 0$  & this implies that *I* is finitely presented by [7, Remark 1.2.34].

 $(5) \Leftrightarrow (9)$  By Corollary 2.1.4, the pair (SJF, SJI) is an almost dual pair. By [9, Proposition 4.2.8 (3), p. 70], SJF is close with direct products iff all of the left *R*-module has an SJF-pre-envelope.

**Theorem 2.1.8.**The following are equivalent for a ring *R*:

(1) All of the f.g. ideal right I of R with  $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$  is finitely presented & the class SJI is with pure submodules being closed.

(2) A right *R*-module *M* is SJ-injective iff  $M^+$  is SJ-flat.

(3)  $SJ(R_R)$  is f.g. & all of the pure quotient of SJ-injective right *R*-module is SJ-injective

(4) *SJI* is closed with direct limits.

- (5) The next conditions explain:
  - (a) All of the right R-module has an (SJI)-cover.
  - (b) All of the pure quotient of SJ-injective R-module right is SJ-injective.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let  $M^+$  be SJ-flat, so  $M^{++}$  is SJ-injective by Theorem 2.1.3. Since M is a pure form of submodule of  $M^{++}$  (by Theorem 1.2.30(1) in [7]), it follows from hypothesis, that M is SJ-injective. The converse by Theorem 2.1.7.

 $(2)\Rightarrow(1)$  Let  $M \in SJI$  & let N be a pure form of submodule of M. By hypothesis,  $(SJI)^+ \subseteq SJF$ . Since (SJF,SJI) is an almost dual pair (by Corollary 2.1.4), we get from [9,Theorem 4.3.2] that  $N^+ \in SJF$ . By hypothesis,  $N \in SJI$ . And so on the class SJI is with pure submodules being closed. Let I be any f.g. ideal right of R with  $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ . By hypothesis,  $(SJI)^+ \subseteq SJF$ . By Theorem 2.1.7, I is a finitely presented ideal.

 $(2)\Rightarrow(3)$  Let  $0 \to N \to M \to M/N \to 0$  be a pure required sequence of right *R*-modules with *M* is SJ-injective, so it follows from Theorem 1.2.27 in [7] that the sequence  $0 \to (M/N)^+ \to M^+ \to N^+ \to 0$  is split. By hypothesis,  $M^+$  is SJ-flat, so  $(M/N)^+$  is SJ-flat. And so on M/N is SJ-injective by hypothesis one more time. Now, we will prove that  $SJ(R_R)$ is an f.g. right *R*-module. Let  $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a family of SJ-injective right *R*-modules. By Theorem 2.1.3(1),  $\prod_{i\in I}M_i$  is SJ-injective. By [9, Lemma 2.2.6(1), p.24],  $\bigoplus_{i\in I}M_i$  is a pure form of submodule of  $\prod_{i\in I}M_i$ . Since (1) & (2) are equivalent, getting that the class *SJI* is with pure submodules being closed. Since  $\prod_{i\in I}M_i \in SJI$ , getting that  $\bigoplus_{i\in I}M_i$  is SJ-injective. By Corollary 2.1.24 in [7],  $SJ(R_R)$  is an f.g. right *R*-module.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Let  $\{M_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be a direct system of SJ-injective right *R*-modules. By [14, 33.9 (2), p. 279], it will be pure required sequence  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_{\alpha} \to \lim_{\longrightarrow} M_{\alpha} \to 0$ . Since  $SJ(R_R)$  is an f.g. right *R*-module,  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_{\alpha}$  is SJ-injective (by Corollary 2.1.24). And so on  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} M_{\alpha}$  is SJ-injective by hypothesis.

(4)⇒(2) Let  $\{K_i: i \in I\}$  be a family of injective right *R*-modules. Since  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i = \lim_{i \in I_0} \{\bigoplus_{i \in I_0} K_i: I_0 \subseteq I, I_0 \text{ finite }\}$  (see [14, p. 206]), so  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i$  is SJ-injective. By Corollary 2.1.24,  $SJ(R_R)$  is an f.g. right *R*-module. By Proposition 2.1.5 (1), SJI is with pure submodules being closed. Since SJI is closed with direct products (by Theorem 2.1.3 (1)) & since SJI is closed with direct limits (by hypothesis), getting SJI is a definable class. (SJI, SJF) is an almost dual pair & hence a right *R*-modules *M* is SJ-injective iff *M*<sup>+</sup> is SJ-flat.

(3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5) By Corollary 2.1.24 & Theorem 1.2.29 (see [7]).  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.1.9.** Let *R* be a ring. If all of the f.g. ideal right *I* of *R* with  $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$  is finitely presented, so the following statements are equivalent:

(1) All of the SJ-flat left R-module is flat.

(2) All of the SJ-injective right R-module is FP-injective.

(3) All of the SJ-injective pure injective right R-module is injective.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let *M* be an SJ-injective right *R*-module, so  $M^+$  is SJ-flat (by Theorem 2.1.7), & so  $M^+$  is flat by hypothesis. And so on  $M^{++}$  is injective by Proposition 1.2.11(see [7]). Since *M* is a pure form of submodule of  $M^{++}$ , it follows that *M* is an *FP*-injective module.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  Let *M* be an SJ-injective pure injective right *R*-module. By (2), *M* is *FP*-injective. By [11, Proposition 2.6 (c), p. 324], all of the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$  is pure. Since *M* is pure injective (by hypothesis), it is follows from[7, Theorem 1.2.28], that all of the pure sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  is split. And so on all of the required sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$  is split. By Theorem 1.2.5 in [7], *M* is injective.

 $(3)\Rightarrow(1)$  Suppose that N is an SJ-flat left R-module, and so on  $N^+$  is an SJ-injective pure injective by [7,Theorem 2.1.3 & Theorem 1.2.30(2)]. And so on  $N^+$  is injective & so N is flat by Proposition 1.2.11 (see [7])

**Proposition 2.1.10.** If *SJI* is closed with direct limits, so equivalating by the next:

- (1) R is a right SJ-injective ring.
- (2) All of the left R-module has a SJ-flat monic pre-envelope.
- (3) All of the flat right R-module is SJ-injective.
- (4) All of the injective left R-module is SJ-flat.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose *N* be a *R*-module left, so it will be an epimorphism  $\alpha: R_R^{(S)} \to N^+$ as an set of index *S* (with [10, Theorem 2.35, p. 58]). with applying [5, Proposition XI.2.3, p. 420],  $\alpha^+:N^{++} \to (R^{(S)})^+$  is an *R*-monomorphism. By [14, 11.10 (2) (ii), p. 87],  $(R^{(S)})^+ \cong (R_R^+)^S$ . By[7, Theorem 1.2.30 (1)], *N* is a pure form of submodule of  $N^{++}$ . So it will be an *R*monomorphism  $g:N \to (R_R^+)^S$ . Since *SJF* is closed with direct products (by hypothesis & Theorem 2.1.7), it follows from Theorem 2.1.7 that *N* has an (*SJF*)-pre-envelope  $f:N \to F$  &  $(R_R^+)^S$  is SJ-flat. And so on it will be an *R*-homomorphism  $h: F \to (R_R^+)^S$  like hf = g, so this means that *f* is an *R*-monomorphism.

 $(2)\Rightarrow(3)$  Let N be an injective left R-module. By hypothesis, it will be an R-monomorphism  $f: N \to F$  with F is SJ-flat. But  $N \cong f(N) \subseteq^{\oplus} F$ , so getting that N is SJ-flat by Proposition 2.1.5 (4).

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Let *M* be a flat right *R*-module, so  $M^+$  is injective, and so then it is SJ-flat (by hypothesis). By Theorem 2.1.3,  $M^{++}$  is SJ-injective. Since *M* is a pure form of submodule of  $M^{++}$  (by Theorem 1.2.30 (1)in [7]), it applying from Theorem 2.1.8 that *M* is SJ-injective.

(4)⇒(1) Obvious, since  $R_R$  is flat. □

**Proposition 2.1.11.** The class *SJF* is closed with homomorphisms kernels if  $ker(\alpha)$  is SJ-flat, for each SJ-flat *R*-module *M* left and  $\alpha \in End(M)$ .

**Proof.** (⇒) Obvious.

(⇐) Suppose that  $f: N \to M$  is any *R*-homomorphism with *N* & *M* are SJ-flat left *R*-modules. Define  $\alpha: N \oplus M \to N \oplus M$  by  $\alpha((x, y)) = (0, f(x))$ . So ker $(\alpha) = \text{ker}(f) \oplus M$  is SJ-flat by hypothesis & hence ker(f) is SJ-flat. □

**Theorem 2.1.12.** If *R* is a commutative ring, so the following statements are equivalent:

(1) All of the f.g. ideal right I of R with  $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$  is finitely presented.

(2)  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is SJ-flat as every SJ-injective R-modules M & every injective R-modules N.

(3)Hom<sub>R</sub>(M, N) is SJ-flat as every projective R-modules M & N.

(4)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)$  is SJ-flat as every injective *R*-modules M & N.

(5)  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is SJ-flat as every projective R-modules M & every SJ-flat R-modules N.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Supposing *M* been an SJ-injective *R*-module & *N* an injective *R*-module. Let *K* be an f.g. ideal right of *R* with  $K \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ , so *K* is finitely presented. By [5, Theorem XII.4.4(3), p.491], getting the required sequence  $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/K, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(K, M) \rightarrow 0$ . And so, on the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(K, M), N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(R, M), N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(R, M), N) \rightarrow 0$  is exact by [5, Theorem XII.4.4 (3), p. 491] one more time. There as, getting the required sequence  $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_R K \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_R R \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_R (R/K) \rightarrow 0$  by [4, Theorem 2.2.11, p. 78] & hence \operatorname{Hom}\_R(M, N) is SJ-flat.

(2)⇒(3) obvious.

 $(3)\Rightarrow(1)$  By [2, Proposition 2.3.4, p. 66] & [10, Theorem 2.75, p.92], getting that  $(R^{++})^S \cong (\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R^+\otimes_R R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))^S \cong (\operatorname{Hom}_R(R^+, R^+))^S$  as any index set *S*. There as,  $(R^{++})^S \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(R^+, (R^+)^S)$  is SJ-flat as any index set *S* by [14, 11.10 (2), p. 87] and since  $R^+$  &  $(R^+)^S$  are injective. Since  $R^S$  is a pure form of submodule of  $(R^{++})^S$  by [7,Theorem 1.2.30] & [3, Lemma 1 (2)], it follows that  $R^S$  is SJ-flat as any index set *S* by Proposition 2.1.5 (2). And so on (1) is satisfied by Theorem 2.1.7.

(1)⇒(5) Let *M* be a projective right *R*-module & *N* be SJ-flat, and so on it will be a projective right *R*-module *P* with  $M \oplus P \cong R^{(S)}$  as some index set *S*. There as,  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_R(P, N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(R^{(S)}, N) \cong (\operatorname{Hom}_R(R, N))^S \cong N^S$  by [14, 11.10 & 11.11, p. 87 & 88]. By Theorem 2.1.7,  $N^S$  is SJ-flat, and so  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is SJ-flat.

(5)⇒(4) Obvious.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$  By [14, 11.10 & 11.11, p. 87 & 88], getting that  $R^S \cong \text{Hom}_R(R^{(S)}, R)$  as any index set *S*. By (4),  $R^S$  is SJ-flat, and so on Theorem 2.1.7 implies that every of the f.g. ideal right *I* of *R* with  $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$  is finitely presented.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.1.13.** Suppose R as a commutative ring with SJI is closed with direct products. So the following are equivalent:

- (1) As M been an SJ-injective R-module.
- (2)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)$  is SJ-flat, as injective *R*-module *N*.
- (3)  $M \otimes_R N$  is SJ-injective, as flat *R*-module *N*.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Applying Theorem 2.1.12.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  By [10, Theorem 2.75, p. 92], getting that  $(M \otimes_R N)^+ \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N^+)$  as any *R*-module *N*. If *N* is flat, so  $N^+$  is injective, and so on  $(M \otimes_R N)^+$  is hypothesis by SJ-flat. Hence  $M \otimes_R N$  is SJ-injective by Theorem 2.1.8.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  It follows from [2, Proposition 2.3.4, p. 66], since *R* is a flat.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.1.14.** Let *R* be a commutative ring with *SJF* is closed with homomorphisms kernels & direct products. So, the following statements hold as any *R*-module N:

- (1)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)$  is SJ-flat, as any SJ-injective *R*-module *M*.
- (2)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(N, M)$  is SJ-flat, as any SJ-flat *R*-module *M*.
- (3)  $M \otimes_R N$  is SJ-injective, as any SJ-injective *R*-module *M*.

**Proof.** (1) Suppose that *M* is an SJ-injective *R*-module. Clearly, the required sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow 0$  induces the required sequence  $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,E_0) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,E_1) \rightarrow 0$  where  $E_0 \& E_1$  are injective *R*-modules. And so on  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,E_0) \& \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,E_1)$  are SJ-flat by Theorem 2.1.12 (2). Hence  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)$  is SJ-flat (by hypothesis).

(2) Suppose that M is an SJ-flat R-module, so we get the required sequence  $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(N, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(F_0, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(F_1, M) \to 0$  where  $F_0 \& F_1$  are free R-modules, so  $F_0 \& F_1$  are projective R-modules by [2, Proposition 5.2.6., p. 146]. And so on the modules  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(F_0, M) \& \operatorname{Hom}_R(F_1, M)$  are SJ-flat by Theorem 3.1.12. There as,  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(N, M)$  is SJ-flat (by hypothesis).

(3) Suppose that M is any SJ-injective R-module, so getting that  $(M \otimes_R N)^+ \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N^+)$  is SJ-flat by [10, Theorem 2.75, p.92] & applying (1). By Theorem 2.1.8,  $M \otimes_R N$  is SJ-injective.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.1.15.** Suppose R be a commutative ring. So the next equivalenting the findings:

- (1) M is an SJ-flat R-module.
- (2)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)$  is SJ-injective, as every injective *R*-module *N*.
- (3)  $M \otimes_R N$  is SJ-flat, as every flat *R*-module *N*.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that *N* is any injective *R*-module. By [4, Theorem 3.2.1, p. 75], Ext<sup>1</sup>( $R/(SJ(R_R))$ , Hom<sub>*R*</sub>(M, N))  $\cong$  (Hom<sub>*R*</sub>(Tor<sub>1</sub>( $R/(SJ(R_R))$ ), M), N) = 0. By Theorem 3.1.8, Hom<sub>*R*</sub>(M, N) is SJ-injective.

(2)⇒(3) Suppose that N is a flat R-module, so  $N^+$  is injective by [10, Proposition 3.54, p.136]. Since  $(M \otimes_R N)^+ \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N^+)$  by [10, Theorem 2.75, p. 92], &  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N^+)$  is SJ-injective by hypothesis, so  $(M \otimes_R N)^+$  is SJ-injective. Hence  $M \otimes_R N$  is SJ-flat by Theorem 2.1.3.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) This follows from [2, Proposition 2.3.4, p. 66].  $\Box$ 

# REFERENCES

[1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.

[2] P. E. Bland, Rings and Their Modules, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011.

[3] T. J. Cheatham and D. R. Stone, Flat and projective character modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981),175-177.

[4] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, Relative Homological Algebra, Walter de Gruyter, 2000.

[5] P. A. Grillet, Abstract Algebra, 2nd edition, GTM 242, Springer, 2007.

[6] H. Holm and P. JOrgensen, Covers, precovers, and purity, Illinois J. Math.,52(2008),691-703.
[7] A.M. Juddah and A. R. Mehdi, SJ-Injective Modules and some Related Concepts,10 Oct. 2021.

[8] S. Maclance, Homology. Springer--verlage Berlin. Heidelberg, 1963.

[9] A. R. Mehdi, Purity relative to classes of finitely presented modules, PhD Thesis, Manchester University, 2013.

[10] J..J.Rotman, An Introduction to Homological Algebra, Springer, 2009.

[11] B. Stenström, Coherent rings and FP-injective modules, J. London. Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 323-329.

[12] B. Stenström, Rings of Quotients, Sepringer-Verlage, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.

[13] A. S. Tayyah and A. R. Mehdi, SS-injective modules and rings, J. AL-Qadisiyah for compu, and math., 9(2) (2017), 57-70.

[14] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of Module and Ring Theory, Gordon and Breach, 1991.