

SJ-FLAT MODULES

Ashwaq Medhloom JUDDAH ¹

Directorate of Education in Qadisiyah, Iraq

Akeel Ramadan MEHDI ²

University of Al Qadisiyah, Iraq

Abstract

In this work we are aiming to explain the notion of SJ-flat Modules. SJ-injectivity notion had been proposed and examined within R-module right M characterised as SJ-N-injective – as N stands for R-module right– while R-homomorphism out of a right submodule for $SJ(N)=\text{soc}(N) \cap (J(N)J(R))$ within M in an extend till N. Examples showing how SJ-injectivity differs from previous injectivity ideas like nonobjectivity and soc-injectivity are offered to show how this new injectivity condition is linked to existing injectivity notions. Highlighted are a few characteristics of the new injectivity class.

Keywords: SJ-Injective Module; SJ-flat module; *FP*-Injective Right *R*-Modules.

 <http://dx.doi.org/10.47832/2717-8234.12.23>

¹  math.post02@qu.edu.iq, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7674-3340>

²  akeel.mehdi@qu.edu.iq <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1077-6489>

Introduction

In this work we are aiming to explain the notion of SJ-flat Modules. SJ-injectivity notion had been proposed and examined within R -module right M characterised as SJ-N-injective – as N stands for R -module right – while R -homomorphism out of a right submodule for $SJ(N) = \text{soc}(N) \cap (J(N)J(R))$ within M in an extend till N . Examples showing how SJ-injectivity differs from previous injectivity ideas like nonobjectivity and soc-injectivity are offered to show how this new injectivity condition is linked to existing injectivity notions. Highlighted are a few characteristics of the new injectivity class.

In this article, most R -modules and rings are been connected to their identity. $\text{soc}(M)$, $J(M)$, signify, socle of M , Jacobson radical, in order, the class of R -modules right is depicted with $R\text{-Mod}$, as if f.g. is been denoted by finitely generated R -module right. While Jacobson's radical R is denoted by J . The submodule N to M notations.

2. SJ-Flat Modules

As a class of modules related SJ-injective modules, we will introduce SJ-flat modules. Many findings in terms of SJ-injectivity & SJ-flatness are given.

Definition 2.1.1. Let be $M \in R\text{-Mod}$. letting M is SJ-flat, if $\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$, where $SJ(R_R) = \text{soc}(R_R) \cap (J(R))^2$.

Example 2.1.2. While it is true that all of the flat modules is SJ-flat, the reverse is not correct. As if, \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_n no flat anymore $n \geq 2$ (see [2, Example, Page 155]), not obvious enough \mathbb{Z}_n if \mathbb{Z} -module is SJ- flat at all $n \geq 2$, since $SJ(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Theorem 2.1.3. equivalating by the following:

- (1) Let M^+ being SJ-injective right R -module.
- (2) Let M being SJ-flat left R -module.
- (3) $\text{Tor}_1(R/B, M) = 0$, for each f.g.. ideal right B of R , with $B \subseteq SJ(R_R)$.
- (4) $\text{Tor}_1(R/A, M) = 0$, for each ideal right A of R , with $A \subseteq SJ(R_R)$.
- (5) The sequence $0 \rightarrow (SJ(R_R)) \otimes_R M \rightarrow R_R \otimes_R M$ is exact.
- (6) The sequence $0 \rightarrow A \otimes_R M \rightarrow R_R \otimes_R M$ is exact for each f.g. ideal right A with R , with $A \subseteq SJ(R_R)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Letting M been an SJ-flat left R -modules, and so on $\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$. Using Theorem 1.2.18 in [7], we get $\text{Ext}^1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M^+) \cong (\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M))^+$. Since $(\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M))^+ = 0$, it follows that $\text{Ext}^1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M^+) = 0$. And so on M^+ is a right SJ-injective R -modules, using Proposition 2.1.8 in [7].

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let M^+ is an SJ-injective right R -modules, and so on $\text{Ext}^1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M^+) = 0$, by [7, Proposition 2.1.8]. By Theorem 1.2.18 in [7], $\text{Ext}^1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M^+) \cong (\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M))^+$ & hence $(\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M))^+ = 0$. And so on $\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$ & hence M is an SJ-flat modules.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Letting A be any ideal right of R , with $A \subseteq SJ(R_R)$. By [4, Theorem 3.2.1, P.75] & Proposition 2.1.8, $\text{Tor}_1(R/A, M)^+ \cong \text{Ext}^1(R/A, M^+) = 0$. And so on $\text{Tor}_1(R/A, M) = 0$, since \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is injective cogenerator.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) is been clear.

(4) \Rightarrow (3) N is a ideal right of R , as: $N \subseteq SJ(R_R)$, so $N = \varinjlim N_i$, where N_i is an f.g. ideal right of R , with $N_i \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ for all i . Let $f_{ij}: N_i \rightarrow N_j$ (with $i \leq j$) is the inclusion map, &

(N_i, f_{ij}) as direct system (see [4, Example 1.5.5 (2), p. 32]). Obviously, $(R/N_i, h_{ij})$ is a R -modules direct system where $h_{ij}: R/N_i \rightarrow R/N_j$ is depicted by $h_{ij}(a + N_i) = a + N_j$ with direct limit $(h_i, \varinjlim R/N_i)$. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & N_i & \xrightarrow{i_i} & R & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & R/N_i \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_{ij} & & \parallel & & \downarrow h_{ij} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & N_j & \xrightarrow{i_j} & R & \xrightarrow{\pi_j} & R/N_j \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where i_i & π_i are the inclusion & canonical maps, respectively. By [14, 24.6, p.200], We get the required sequence as: $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} R \xrightarrow{u} \varinjlim R/N_i \rightarrow 0$. following [14, 24.4, p.199], we get the commutativity of the next diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & R/N_i \rightarrow 0 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow h_i \\ R & \xrightarrow{u} & \varinjlim R/N_i \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

So the family of mappings $\{g_i | g_i: R/N_i \rightarrow R/\varinjlim N_i, \text{ while } g_i(a + N_i) = a + \varinjlim N_i\}$ obtaining homomorphisms direct system, since for $i \leq j$, having $g_j h_{ij}(a + N_i) = g_j(a + N_j) = a + \varinjlim N_i = g_i(a + N_i)$ for each $a + N_i \in R/N_i$. Hence, we get diagram of R -homomorphism α as the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \xrightarrow{u} & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{i} & R & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & R/N_i \xrightarrow{h_i} \varinjlim R/N_i \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & I & \xrightarrow{i} & R & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & R/N_i \xrightarrow{g_i} R/\varinjlim N_i \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \xrightarrow{\pi} & & \end{array}$$

with rows are short exact (see [14, p.197]), when π is a canonical map, so could it follows from [1, Exercise 11 (1), p. 52] that $\varinjlim R/N_i \cong R/\varinjlim N_i$. as,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_1(R/N, M) &= \text{Tor}_1\left(R/\varinjlim N_i, M\right) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_1\left(\varinjlim R/N_i, M\right) \text{ (by [5, Theorem XII.5.4 (4), p. 494]).} \\ &\cong \varinjlim \text{Tor}_1(R/N_i, M) = 0 \text{ by [10, Proposition 7.8, p. 410]).} \end{aligned}$$

That means (4) \Rightarrow (3) is satisfied.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) is been obvious.

(1) \Rightarrow (5) letting M be an SJ-flat left R -modules, and so on $\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$. Taking required sequence $0 \rightarrow (SJ(R_R)) \xrightarrow{i} R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/(SJ(R_R)) \rightarrow 0$. By [5, Theorem X.II.5.4(3), p. 494], getting the required sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) \rightarrow (SJ(R_R)) \otimes_R M \rightarrow R_R \otimes_R M$. Since $\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$, getting the sequence $0 \rightarrow (SJ(R_R)) \otimes_R M \rightarrow R_R \otimes_R M$ is exact .

(5) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that the sequence $0 \rightarrow (SJ(R_R)) \otimes_R M \rightarrow R_R \otimes_R M$ is exact. By [5, Theorem XII.5.4(3), p. 494], the sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) \rightarrow (SJ(R_R)) \otimes_R M \rightarrow R_R \otimes_R M$ is exact. And so on $\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M) = 0$ & hence M be an SJ-flat left R -modules.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (6) By similar way of (1) \Leftrightarrow (5). \square

Note: We will use the symbol SJI (resp. SJF) to denote the class of right SJ-injective – Left SJ-flat – R -modules.

Corollary 2.1.4. Both (SJF, SJI) is an almost dual pair.

Proof. Following from Theorem 3.1.3 & Theorem 2.1.3 ((1) & (5)see [7]).

Proposition 2.1.5. as R ring, the next are true:

- (1) $SJ(R_R)$ been f.g, so the following pure form of submodule always of SJ-injective R -module right being SJ-injective.
- (2) all SJ-flat pure form of submodule of R -module left is SJ-flat.
- (3) all direct limits (direct sums) of SJ-flat R -modules left being SJ-flat.
- (4) Unless M, N are left R -modules, $M \cong N$, and M is SJ-flat, so N is SJ-flat.

Proof. (1) Letting M be an SJ-injective right R -module & N be a pure form of submodule of M . To prove that N is SJ-injective. Since $R/SJ(R_R)$ is a finitely presented, the sequence $\text{Hom}_R(R/SJ(R_R), M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/SJ(R_R), M/N) \rightarrow 0$ is the required. By [5, Theorem XII.4.4 (4), p. 491], we get the required sequence $\text{Hom}_R(R/SJ(R_R), M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/SJ(R_R), M/N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(R/SJ(R_R), N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(R/SJ(R_R), M)$

, it follows that $\text{Ext}^1(R/SJ(R_R), N) = 0$. By [7, Proposition 2.1.8], N is an SJ-injective R -module right.

(2), (3) & (4), are following from[7, Corollary 2.1.4]& [9, Proposition 4.2.8, p. 70]. \square

Corollary 2.1.6. A ideal right $SJ(R_R)$ of a ring R is f.g. iff all of the FP -injective right R -module is SJ-injective.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let M be an FP -injective right R -module, and so on $\text{Ext}^1(N, M) = 0$, for all finitely presented right R -modules N . By hypothesis, $SJ(R_R)$ is f.g. & hence $R/SJ(R_R)$ is finitely presented, so $\text{Ext}^1(R/SJ(R_R), M) = 0$. Into Proposition 2.1.8 in [7], M is SJ-injective.

(\Leftarrow) Let M be an FP -injective R -modules right & let $f: SJ(R_R) \rightarrow M$ be a right R -homomorphism. By hypothesis, M is SJ-injective, so f continues to R . Within[7, Proposition 1.2.33], $SJ(R_R)$ is f.g. \square

Theorem 2.1.7. Equivalent to the following of ring R :

- (1) f.g. ideal right I for R with $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ is finitely presented always.
- (2) Letting M be a right SJ-injective R -module, so M^{++} is SJ-injective.
- (3) Letting M be a right SJ-injective R -module, so M^+ is SJ-flat.
- (4) A left R -module N is SJ-flat iff N^{++} is SJ-flat.
- (5) within direct products SJF is closed.
- (6) ${}_R R^S$ is SJ-flat for index set S at all.

(7) $\text{Ext}^2(R/I, M) = 0$ for each FP -injective R -module M right & always f.g. ideal right I of R by $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$.

(8) If $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ being a required sequence of right R -modules with N is FP -injective & M is SJ -injective, while $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, H) = 0$, to all f.g. ideal right I of R with $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$.

(9) R -module left has an (SJF) -pre-envelope at all.

Proof.(1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that M is SJ -injective. Let I be an f.g. ideal right of R with $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$. And so on $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, M) = 0$. Within hypothesis, I finitely presented & hence is a required sequence $B_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} B_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} I \rightarrow 0$ in which B_i is an f.g. free right R -module, $i = 1, 2$. And so on getting the sequence $B_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} B_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/I \rightarrow 0$ is the required, where $\alpha = if_1$ & $i: I \rightarrow R$ & $\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$ including & canonical homomorphisms, respectively. And so on R/I is 2- presented & so on Lemma 1.2.24(see[7]) implies that $\text{Tor}_1(R/I, M^+) \cong (\text{Ext}^1(R/I, M))^+ = 0$. So, M^+ is an SJ -flat R -module left.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let M be SJ -injective. Within hypothesis, M^+ is an SJ -flat left R -module. By Theorem 2.1.3, M^{++} is SJ -injective.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Suppose that N is an SJ -flat left R -module, and so on Theorem 2.1.3 implies that, N^+ being an SJ -injective right R -module. By (3), N^{+++} is SJ -injective. By Theorem 2.1.3, N^{++} is SJ -flat. Conversely, suppose that N^{++} is SJ -flat. Through Theorem 1.2.30(1)(see [7]), N is N^{++} as a pure submodule. Within Proposition 2.1.5(2), N is SJ -flat.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) By hypothesis, $(SJF)^{++} \subseteq SJF$. Since (SJF, SJI) is an mainly dual pair (by Corollary 2.1.4), getting from [9, Proposition 2.3.1 & Proposition 2.2.8 (3), p.85 & 70], that SJF is definable. So SJF is closed with direct products.

(5) \Rightarrow (6) obvious.

(6) \Rightarrow (1) Letting K be an f.g. ideal right of R with $K \subseteq SJ(R_R)$. Within (6), $\prod R = R^I$ is an SJ -flat left R -module. And so on $\text{Tor}_1(R/K, \prod R) = 0$, by Theorem 2.1.3. And so on, getting the exact row by commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K \otimes_R (\prod R) & \longrightarrow & R \otimes_R (\prod R) & \longrightarrow & R/K \otimes_R (\prod R) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod K & \longrightarrow & \prod R & \longrightarrow & \prod R/K \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Using [4, Theorem 3.2.22, p.81], we get γ & β are isomorphisms. By [8, Lemma 3.2, p. 14], α is an isomorphism. Using [4, Theorem 3.2.22, p. 81], K is finitely presented.

(1) \Rightarrow (7) Let I being an f.g. ideal right of R for $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ & as M been an FP -injective R -module right. By (1), I is finitely presented. By [5, Theorem XII.4.4 (3), p. 491], by getting required sequence $\text{Ext}^1(I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R, M)$. But $\text{Ext}^1(I, M) = 0$ (since M is FP -injective & I is finitely presented) & $\text{Ext}^2(R, M) = 0$ (as R is projective). And so on $\text{Ext}^2(R/I, M) = 0$.

(7) \Rightarrow (8) If $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ is an required sequence of right R -modules, where N is FP -injective & M is SJ -injective & let I be an f.g. ideal right of R with $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$. By [5, Theorem XII.4.4 (4), p.491], We get an required sequence $0 = \text{Ext}^1(R/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(R/I, K) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R/I, N) = 0$. And so on $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, K) = 0$ for each f.g. ideal right I of R with $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$.

(8) \Rightarrow (1) Let N be an FP -injective right R -module, and so on getting the required sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E(N) \rightarrow E(N)/N \rightarrow 0$, where $E(N)$ is the injective envelope of N . Let I be an f.g. ideal right of R with $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$. By hypothesis, $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, E(N)/N) = 0$. So it follows from [5,

Theorem XII.4.4 (4), p. 491] that the sequence $0 = \text{Ext}^1(R/I, E(N)/N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R/I, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R/I, E(N)) = 0$ is exact, & so $\text{Ext}^2(R/I, N) = 0$. Since $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ is exact, so it follows from [5, Theorem XII.4.4 (3), p. 491] that the sequence $0 = \text{Ext}^1(R, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(I, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R/I, N) = 0$. And so on $\text{Ext}^1(I, N) = 0$ & this implies that I is finitely presented by [7, Remark 1.2.34].

(5) \Leftrightarrow (9) By Corollary 2.1.4, the pair (SJF, SJI) is an almost dual pair. By [9, Proposition 4.2.8 (3), p. 70], SJF is close with direct products iff all of the left R -module has an SJF -envelope. \square

Theorem 2.1.8. The following are equivalent for a ring R :

- (1) All of the f.g. ideal right I of R with $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ is finitely presented & the class SJI is with pure submodules being closed.
- (2) A right R -module M is SJ-injective iff M^+ is SJ-flat.
- (3) $SJ(R_R)$ is f.g. & all of the pure quotient of SJ-injective right R -module is SJ-injective
- (4) SJI is closed with direct limits.
- (5) The next conditions explain:
 - (a) All of the right R -module has an (SJI) -cover.
 - (b) All of the pure quotient of SJ-injective R -module right is SJ-injective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let M^+ be SJ-flat, so M^{++} is SJ-injective by Theorem 2.1.3. Since M is a pure form of submodule of M^{++} (by Theorem 1.2.30(1) in [7]), it follows from hypothesis, that M is SJ-injective. The converse by Theorem 2.1.7.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $M \in SJI$ & let N be a pure form of submodule of M . By hypothesis, $(SJI)^+ \subseteq SJF$. Since (SJF, SJI) is an almost dual pair (by Corollary 2.1.4), we get from [9, Theorem 4.3.2] that $N^+ \in SJF$. By hypothesis, $N \in SJI$. And so on the class SJI is with pure submodules being closed. Let I be any f.g. ideal right of R with $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$. By hypothesis, $(SJI)^+ \subseteq SJF$. By Theorem 2.1.7, I is a finitely presented ideal.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$ be a pure required sequence of right R -modules with M is SJ-injective, so it follows from Theorem 1.2.27 in [7] that the sequence $0 \rightarrow (M/N)^+ \rightarrow M^+ \rightarrow N^+ \rightarrow 0$ is split. By hypothesis, M^+ is SJ-flat, so $(M/N)^+$ is SJ-flat. And so on M/N is SJ-injective by hypothesis one more time. Now, we will prove that $SJ(R_R)$ is an f.g. right R -module. Let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of SJ-injective right R -modules. By Theorem 2.1.3(1), $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is SJ-injective. By [9, Lemma 2.2.6(1), p.24], $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is a pure form of submodule of $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$. Since (1) & (2) are equivalent, getting that the class SJI is with pure submodules being closed. Since $\prod_{i \in I} M_i \in SJI$, getting that $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is SJ-injective. By Corollary 2.1.24 in [7], $SJ(R_R)$ is an f.g. right R -module.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let $\{M_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a direct system of SJ-injective right R -modules. By [14, 33.9 (2), p. 279], it will be a pure required sequence $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha \rightarrow \varinjlim M_\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Since $SJ(R_R)$ is an f.g. right R -module, $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha$ is SJ-injective (by Corollary 2.1.24). And so on $\varinjlim M_\alpha$ is SJ-injective by hypothesis.

(4) \Rightarrow (2) Let $\{K_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of injective right R -modules. Since $\bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i = \varinjlim \{ \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} K_i : I_0 \subseteq I, I_0 \text{ finite} \}$ (see [14, p. 206]), so $\bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i$ is SJ-injective. By Corollary 2.1.24, $SJ(R_R)$ is an f.g. right R -module. By Proposition 2.1.5 (1), SJI is with pure submodules being closed. Since SJI is closed with direct products (by Theorem 2.1.3 (1)) & since SJI is closed with direct limits (by hypothesis), getting SJI is a definable class. (SJI, SJF) is an almost dual pair & hence a right R -modules M is SJ-injective iff M^+ is SJ-flat.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (5) By Corollary 2.1.24 & Theorem 1.2.29 (see [7]). \square

Corollary 2.1.9. Let R be a ring. If all of the f.g. ideal right I of R with $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ is finitely presented, so the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) All of the SJ-flat left R -module is flat.
- (2) All of the SJ-injective right R -module is FP -injective.
- (3) All of the SJ-injective pure injective right R -module is injective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let M be an SJ-injective right R -module, so M^+ is SJ-flat (by Theorem 2.1.7), & so M^+ is flat by hypothesis. And so on M^{++} is injective by Proposition 1.2.11 (see [7]). Since M is a pure form of submodule of M^{++} , it follows that M is an FP -injective module.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let M be an SJ-injective pure injective right R -module. By (2), M is FP -injective. By [11, Proposition 2.6 (c), p. 324], all of the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is pure. Since M is pure injective (by hypothesis), it is follows from [7, Theorem 1.2.28], that all of the pure sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ is split. And so on all of the required sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is split. By Theorem 1.2.5 in [7], M is injective.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that N is an SJ-flat left R -module, and so on N^+ is an SJ-injective pure injective by [7, Theorem 2.1.3 & Theorem 1.2.30(2)]. And so on N^+ is injective & so N is flat by Proposition 1.2.11 (see [7]) \square

Proposition 2.1.10. If SJI is closed with direct limits, so equivalating by the next:

- (1) R is a right SJ-injective ring.
- (2) All of the left R -module has a SJ-flat monic pre-envelope.
- (3) All of the flat right R -module is SJ-injective.
- (4) All of the injective left R -module is SJ-flat.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose N be a R -module left, so it will be an epimorphism $\alpha: R_R^{(S)} \rightarrow N^+$ as an set of index S (with [10, Theorem 2.35, p. 58]). with applying [5, Proposition XI.2.3, p. 420], $\alpha^+: N^{++} \rightarrow (R^{(S)})^+$ is an R -monomorphism. By [14, 11.10 (2) (ii), p. 87], $(R^{(S)})^+ \cong (R_R^+)^S$. By [7, Theorem 1.2.30 (1)], N is a pure form of submodule of N^{++} . So it will be an R -monomorphism $g: N \rightarrow (R_R^+)^S$. Since SJF is closed with direct products (by hypothesis & Theorem 2.1.7), it follows from Theorem 2.1.7 that N has an (SJF) -pre-envelope $f: N \rightarrow F$ & $(R_R^+)^S$ is SJ-flat. And so on it will be an R -homomorphism $h: F \rightarrow (R_R^+)^S$ like $hf = g$, so this means that f is an R -monomorphism.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let N be an injective left R -module. By hypothesis, it will be an R -monomorphism $f: N \rightarrow F$ with F is SJ-flat. But $N \cong f(N) \subseteq^{\oplus} F$, so getting that N is SJ-flat by Proposition 2.1.5 (4).

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let M be a flat right R -module, so M^+ is injective, and so then it is SJ-flat (by hypothesis). By Theorem 2.1.3, M^{++} is SJ-injective. Since M is a pure form of submodule of M^{++} (by Theorem 1.2.30 (1) in [7]), it applying from Theorem 2.1.8 that M is SJ-injective.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Obvious, since R_R is flat. \square

Proposition 2.1.11. The class SJF is closed with homomorphisms kernels if $\ker(\alpha)$ is SJ-flat, for each SJ-flat R -module M left and $\alpha \in \text{End}(M)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Obvious.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $f: N \rightarrow M$ is any R -homomorphism with N & M are SJ-flat left R -modules. Define $\alpha: N \oplus M \rightarrow N \oplus M$ by $\alpha((x, y)) = (0, f(x))$. So $\ker(\alpha) = \ker(f) \oplus M$ is SJ-flat by hypothesis & hence $\ker(f)$ is SJ-flat. \square

Theorem 2.1.12. If R is a commutative ring, so the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) All of the f.g. ideal right I of R with $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ is finitely presented .
- (2) $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is SJ-flat as every SJ-injective R -modules M & every injective R -modules N .
- (3) $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is SJ-flat as every projective R -modules M & N .
- (4) $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is SJ-flat as every injective R -modules M & N .
- (5) $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is SJ-flat as every projective R -modules M & every SJ-flat R -modules N .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Supposing M been an SJ-injective R -module & N an injective R -module. Let K be an f.g. ideal right of R with $K \subseteq SJ(R_R)$, so K is finitely presented. By [5, Theorem XII.4.4(3), p.491], getting the required sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/K, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, M) \rightarrow 0$. And so, on the sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(K, M), N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(R, M), N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(R/K, M), N) \rightarrow 0$ is exact by [5, Theorem XII.4.4 (3), p. 491] one more time. There as, getting the required sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_R K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_R R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_R (R/K) \rightarrow 0$ by [4, Theorem 2.2.11, p. 78] & hence $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is SJ-flat.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) By [2, Proposition 2.3.4, p. 66] & [10, Theorem 2.75, p.92], getting that $(R^{++})^S \cong (\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R^+ \otimes_R R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))^S \cong (\text{Hom}_R(R^+, R^+))^S$ as any index set S . There as, $(R^{++})^S \cong \text{Hom}_R(R^+, (R^+)^S)$ is SJ-flat as any index set S by [14, 11.10 (2), p. 87] and since R^+ & $(R^+)^S$ are injective. Since R^S is a pure form of submodule of $(R^{++})^S$ by [7, Theorem 1.2.30] & [3, Lemma 1 (2)], it follows that R^S is SJ-flat as any index set S by Proposition 2.1.5 (2). And so on (1) is satisfied by Theorem 2.1.7.

(1) \Rightarrow (5) Let M be a projective right R -module & N be SJ-flat, and so on it will be a projective right R -module P with $M \oplus P \cong R^{(S)}$ as some index set S . There as, $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(P, N) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R^{(S)}, N) \cong (\text{Hom}_R(R, N))^S \cong N^S$ by [14, 11.10 & 11.11, p. 87 & 88]. By Theorem 2.1.7, N^S is SJ-flat, and so $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is SJ-flat.

(5) \Rightarrow (4) Obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) By [14, 11.10 & 11.11, p. 87 & 88], getting that $R^S \cong \text{Hom}_R(R^{(S)}, R)$ as any index set S . By (4), R^S is SJ-flat, and so on Theorem 2.1.7 implies that every of the f.g. ideal right I of R with $I \subseteq SJ(R_R)$ is finitely presented . \square

Corollary 2.1.13. Suppose R as a commutative ring with SJI is closed with direct products. So the following are equivalent:

- (1) As M been an SJ-injective R -module.
- (2) $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is SJ-flat, as injective R -module N .
- (3) $M \otimes_R N$ is SJ-injective, as flat R -module N .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Applying Theorem 2.1.12.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By [10, Theorem 2.75, p. 92], getting that $(M \otimes_R N)^+ \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N^+)$ as any R -module N . If N is flat, so N^+ is injective, and so on $(M \otimes_R N)^+$ is hypothesis by SJ-flat. Hence $M \otimes_R N$ is SJ-injective by Theorem 2.1.8.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) It follows from [2, Proposition 2.3.4, p. 66], since R is a flat. \square

Corollary 2.1.14. Let R be a commutative ring with SJF is closed with homomorphisms kernels & direct products. So, the following statements hold as any R -module N :

- (1) $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is SJ-flat, as any SJ-injective R -module M .
- (2) $\text{Hom}_R(N, M)$ is SJ-flat, as any SJ-flat R -module M .
- (3) $M \otimes_R N$ is SJ-injective, as any SJ-injective R -module M .

Proof. (1) Suppose that M is an SJ-injective R -module. Clearly, the required sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow 0$ induces the required sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, E_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, E_1) \rightarrow 0$ where E_0 & E_1 are injective R -modules. And so on $\text{Hom}_R(M, E_0)$ & $\text{Hom}_R(M, E_1)$ are SJ-flat by Theorem 2.1.12 (2). Hence $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is SJ-flat (by hypothesis).

(2) Suppose that M is an SJ-flat R -module, so we get the required sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_1, M) \rightarrow 0$ where F_0 & F_1 are free R -modules, so F_0 & F_1 are projective R -modules by [2, Proposition 5.2.6., p. 146]. And so on the modules $\text{Hom}_R(F_0, M)$ & $\text{Hom}_R(F_1, M)$ are SJ-flat by Theorem 3.1.12. There as, $\text{Hom}_R(N, M)$ is SJ-flat (by hypothesis).

(3) Suppose that M is any SJ-injective R -module, so getting that $(M \otimes_R N)^+ \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N^+)$ is SJ-flat by [10, Theorem 2.75, p.92] & applying (1). By Theorem 2.1.8, $M \otimes_R N$ is SJ-injective. \square

Proposition 2.1.15. Suppose R be a commutative ring. So the next equivalenting the findings:

- (1) M is an SJ-flat R -module.
- (2) $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is SJ-injective, as every injective R -module N .
- (3) $M \otimes_R N$ is SJ-flat, as every flat R -module N .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that N is any injective R -module. By [4, Theorem 3.2.1, p. 75], $\text{Ext}^1(R/(SJ(R_R)), \text{Hom}_R(M, N)) \cong (\text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}_1(R/(SJ(R_R)), M), N) = 0$. By Theorem 3.1.8, $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is SJ-injective.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose that N is a flat R -module, so N^+ is injective by [10, Proposition 3.54, p.136]. Since $(M \otimes_R N)^+ \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N^+)$ by [10, Theorem 2.75, p. 92], & $\text{Hom}_R(M, N^+)$ is SJ-injective by hypothesis, so $(M \otimes_R N)^+$ is SJ-injective. Hence $M \otimes_R N$ is SJ-flat by Theorem 2.1.3.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) This follows from [2, Proposition 2.3.4, p. 66]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [2] P. E. Bland, Rings and Their Modules, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011.
- [3] T. J. Cheatham and D. R. Stone, Flat and projective character modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981),175-177.
- [4] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, Relative Homological Algebra, Walter de Gruyter, 2000.
- [5] P. A. Grillet, Abstract Algebra, 2nd edition, GTM 242, Springer, 2007.
- [6] H. Holm and P. JØrgensen, Covers, precovers, and purity, Illinois J. Math.,52(2008),691-703.
- [7] A.M. Juddah and A. R. Mehdi, SJ-Injective Modules and some Related Concepts,10 Oct. 2021.
- [8] S. MacLance, Homology. Springer--verlage Berlin. Heidelberg, 1963.
- [9] A. R. Mehdi, Purity relative to classes of finitely presented modules, PhD Thesis, Manchester University, 2013.
- [10] J..J.Rotman, An Introduction to Homological Algebra, Springer,2009.
- [11] B. Stenström, Coherent rings and FP-injective modules, J. London. Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 323-329.
- [12] B. Stenström, Rings of Quotients, Sepringer-Verlage, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.
- [13] A. S. Tayyah and A. R. Mehdi, SS-injective modules and rings, J. AL-Qadisiyah for compu, and math., 9(2) (2017), 57-70.
- [14] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of Module and Ring Theory, Gordon and Breach, 1991.